

Evaluation of amine-incorporated porous polymer networks (aPPNs) as sorbents for post combustion CO2 capture

DOE AWARD NUMBER: DE-FE0026472 PI: Joe Zhou Texas A&M Sub-Award: framergy Zac Perry



*CO*₂ *Capture Technology Meeting*, 08/11/2016

Outline

- Objectives and Budget
- Background
- Four Synthetic Approaches to Novel PPNs
 - PPN-200
 - PPN-60
 - aPPNs
 - Acid Catalyzed Trimerization
- Synergy with Framergy
- BP2 Goals

Project Objectives

- To improve and optimize sorbent and process technologies so that, by the end of the 36-month effort, a scalable highly-robust and highly-efficient sorbent can be delivered and validated through lab-scale testing in a fixedbed carbon capture/sorbent regeneration system
- The cost of the advanced sorbents will be reduced to a point where it will be economically feasible to scale-up and use the sorbents in commercial carbon capture processes
- The ideal sorbents for post-combustion CO₂ capture at project's end will demonstrate significant progress toward achievement of the overall fossil energy performance goals of 90% CO₂ capture rate with 95% CO₂ purity at a cost of electricity 30% less than baseline capture approaches.

Project Organization Chart



Resource Loaded Schedule

						Bu	dget	Per	riod	1 B [.]	udg	get P	Perio	od 2	Budge	t Pe	riod	3
									_	_	_							
Task	Mileston	Start Date	End Date	Cos	st	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	1 Q	5 0	26	Q7	Q8	Q9 Q	10 C	211 (Q12
1.0- Program Management and Planning	a, b	9/30/2015	9/30/2018	\$	187,853													
1.1-Project Management Plan		9/30/2015	9/30/2018															
1.2-Briefings and Reports		9/30/2015	9/30/2018															
2.0-Sorbent Synthesis and Optimization	c, f, J, k	9/30/2015	9/30/2016	\$	352,156													
3.0-Initial Sorbent Testing	d	9/30/2016	9/30/2016	\$	286,656													
3.1-Physisorption Tests	е	9/30/2015	6/30/2016															
3.2-Physical Property Characterization	g	1/30/2015	6/30/2016															
3.3-Initial TGA Tests	h	1/30/2016	6/31/16															
3.4-Initial Degredation Studies	i	3/30/2016	9/30/2016															
4.0-Sorbent Optimization	m	9/30/2016	9/30/2017	\$	202,042													
5.0-Initial Sorbent Scale-up	n, o	1/30/2017	6/31/2017	\$	191,585							_						
6.0-Initial Fixed Bed Testing	l, p	9/30/2016	9/30/2017	\$	65,000													
7.0-Attrition and Mechanical Hardness Tests	q	1/30/2017	6/30/2017	\$	34,300													
8.0-Sorbent Production	r	9/30/2017	6/30/2018	\$	221,330													
9.0-Optimal Fixed Bed Testing	S	1/30/2018	9/30/2018	\$	186,694													
10.0-Technology Assessment	t	3/30/2017	9/30/2018	\$	80,000													
			Total	\$1	,807,616													

Project Budget

	Budget Period 1 10/01/15-09/30/16		Budget Period 2 10/01/16-09/30/17		Budget Per 10/01/17-0	iod 3 9/30/18	Total Project			
	Federal Share	Cost Share	Federal Share	Cost Share	Federal Share	Cost Share	Federal Share	Cost Share		
Texas A&M University	\$542,953	\$140,286	\$ 377,316	\$94,329	\$ 291,736	\$72,943	\$ 1,212,005	\$303,011		
framergy [⊤] M	\$18,189	\$0	\$63,199	\$20,701	\$152,692	\$33,271	\$234,080	\$58,519		
Total	\$561,144	\$140,286	\$ 440,514	\$115,030	\$ 444,428	\$106,214	\$ 1,446,086	\$361,530		
Cost Share	80.0%	20.0%	80.0%	20.0%	80.0%	20.0%	80.0%	20.0%		

BP1 Milestone Log

ID	Task	Milestone Description	Planned Completion	Actual Completion	Percentage Complete	Verification Method
а	1	Updated Project Management Plan	10/31/2015	10/31/2015	100%	Project Management Plan file
b	1	Kick-off Meeting	12/31/2015	12/31/2015	100%	Presentation file
С	2	Complete synthesis of least 5 novel aPPN sorbent formulations at small- scale (~100 milligrams)	1/31/2016	1/31/2016	100%	Results reported in the quarterly report
d	3.0	Complete synthesis of two Gen 0 materials (PPN-125-DETA and MOF-74-Mg) for standardization of measurements	1/31/2016	1/31/2016	100%	Results reported in the quarterly report
е	3.1	Complete initial CO_2 adsorption testing with at least five aPPN sorbent formulations and generate CO_2 loading isotherms	3/31/2016	3/31/2016	100%	Results reported in the quarterly report
f	2	Complete synthesis of 5 or more additional aPPN sorbents (~100 mg)	5/31/2016	5/31/2016	100%	Results reported in the quarterly report
g	3.2	Complete initial aPPN sorbent physical property characterization (heat capacity, heat of reaction, density, particle size, etc.)	6/30/2016	8/30/2016*	30%	Results reported in the quarterly report
h	3.3	Complete initial TGA testing with the top-performing aPPN sorbents (>0.08 kg/kg CO_2 capacity) in the presence of moisture	6/30/2016	8/30/2016*	50%	Results reported in the quarterly report
i	3.3	Complete initial thermal and chemical stability (H_2O, SO_2) studies via TGA cycling and small breakthrough	8/30/2016	8/30/2016*		Results reported in the quarterly report
j	2	Sorbent Synthesis Optimization – Projected Cost Analysis	8/30/2016	8/30/2016*		Results reported in the quarterly report
k	2	Produce ~50 grams of at least the two top-performing aPPN sorbent formulations	9/30/2016	9/30/2016*		Results reported in the quarterly report

7

BP1 Success Criteria

Decision Point	Basis for Decision/Success Criteria	Status
	Successful completion of all work proposed in Budget Period 1	On Track
Completion of Budget Period 1	Novel aPPN sorbent formulation retains a CO ₂ adsorption capacity of at least 0.1 kg/kg after 30 cycles via TGA or physisorption testing	Amine functionalized PPN-200 has the CO_2 uptake of 0.09 kg/kg at 0.15 bar and 298K and 11.3 kg/kg at 1 bar and 298K. We are working on the condition optimization to reach a higher CO_2 uptake and performing cycling experiments.
	Produce ~50 grams of at least the two top-performing aPPN sorbent formulations	We are currently working towards scale-up and expect completion by the end of BP1.
	Submission and approval of a Continuation Application in accordance with the terms and conditions of the award. The Continuation Application should include a detailed budget and budget justification for budget revisions or budget items not previously justified, including quotes and budget justification for service contractors and major equipment items	The BP2 Continuation Application was submitted on July 12, 2016

Amine-decorated Porous Materials

• Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs)





• Porous Polymer Networks (PPNs)



A. M. Fracaroli, H. Furukawa, M. Suzuki, M. Dodd, S. Okajima, F. Gándara, J. A. Reimer, O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*, 8863-8866. McDonald, T. M.; Long, J. R., *Nature* **2015**, *519* (7543), 303-308. Lu, W.; Sculley, J. P.; Yuan, D.; Krishna, R.; Wei, Z.; Zhou, H.-C., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7480.

Amine-tethered PPN-6



- Dramatic increases in CO₂ uptake capacities at low pressures and exceptionally high CO₂/N₂ adsorption selectivity
- Expensive bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)nickel(0) (Ni(COD)₂) is required
- Purely serves as a support for amine chains, decreasing volumetric CO₂ uptake

Calculating the Benefits of PPNs

DETA Low Heat Capacities 3.0 EDA SO₃Li Reduced Energy costs 2.5 SO₃H Heat Capacity / J g⁻¹ 0.7 0.7 0.1 TETA TAEA Table S2. IAST selectivity and Purity for each compound. -DETA -TETA -SO₃Li -SO₃H -EDA -TAEA N₂ loading 0.058 0.09 1.09E-06 1.45E-09 2.99E-08 2.75E-09 CO₂ IAST 1.317 0.908 1.616 2.967 1.984 2.264 2.264 CO_2 pure 1.361 0.954 1.616 2.967 1.984 129.25 57.09 8.39E+06 2.05E+09 3.76E+08 8.22E+08 IAST selectivity 95.78% 90.98% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% Purity (a) 8 D-DETA Working Capacity per Energy / mmol kJ¹ EDA SO_Li SO.H TETA 6 0.5 TAEA 20 40 60 80 120 140 160 100 180 Temperature / °C Figure S10. Heat capacity of PPNs as a function of temperature.

80

100

120

Desorption Temperature / °C

180

200

Sculley, J. P., Verdegaal, W. M., Lu, W., Wriedt, M. and Zhou, H.-C. *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25: 3957. 11

N₂ and CO₂ Uptake of New PPN Materials

Temperature (298 K)								
	N ₂	Pore	CO ₂ Upta	ke (kg/kg)				
Sorbent	uptake (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Volume (cm³ g⁻¹)	0.15 bar	1 bar				
a-PPN-1	415	0.2099	0.018	0.128				
a-PPN-1R	210	0.2555	0.080	0.125				
a-PPN-2R	210	0.1336	0.033	0.113				
PPN-60	365	0.4270	-	-				
PPN-60-DETA	118	0.0343	0.055	0.090				
PPN-60-TAEA	23	0.0332	0.064	0.102				
PPN-200	450	0.4812	-	_				
PPN-200-DETA	-	-	0.081	0.131				
PPN-200-TETA	-	-	0.090	0.113				
PPN-200-TAEA	-	-	0.089	0.126				
PPN-300	230	-	-	_				
PPN-300-DETA	-	-	0.043	0.090				

PPN-200 via Cross-Coupling, Post-Synthetic Amine Tethering



Optimization of PPN-200 Synthesis



 Optimizing the synthetic conditions for cross-coupling reaction by variation of reagent and solvent conditions

Post Synthetic Functionalization of PPN-200



- 28% conversion of methyl to bromomethyl
- Optimizing the bromination conditions to maximize the conversion

Amine Appended PPN-200 Series



BP1 Target Capacity	0.1 kg/kg
PPN-200DETA	0.081 kg/kg
PPN-200TAEA	0.090 kg/kg
PPN-200TETA	0.089 kg/kg

298 K, 0.15 bar

PPN-60 via Hetero-coupling of Tetrahedral Monomers



17

Post-Synthetic Functionalization of PPN-60



N₂ Uptake of PPN-60-DETA and PPN-60-TAEA



CO₂ Uptake of PPN-60-DETA and PPN-60-TAEA



CO₂ uptake of **0.055 kg/kg** (0.15 bar) and **0.090 kg/kg** (1 bar) at 298 K CO₂ uptake of **0.064 kg/kg** (0.15 bar) and **0.102 kg/kg** (1 bar) at 298 K

Crystalline a-PPNs Formed via Schiff-base Reactions



Analysis of a-PPN-1



- N₂ uptake at 77K
- Pore size distribution pictured inset

- Appeared: Imine C=N stretching: 1644 cm⁻¹
- Disappeared: Aldehyde C=O stretching 1692 cm⁻¹

Analysis of a-PPN-1R



N₂ uptake isotherm at 77K

CO₂ uptake: 0.1 kg/kg at 0 °C and 0.15 bar

Crystalline a-PPNs (Cont.)

• Direct synthesis



Cyclotrimeriztion of Acetyl Groups



• Novel trimerization route, cheap at industrial scale

Preliminary N₂ Uptake of Trimerized Monomer



TGA Experiments



TGA of PCN-200 TAEA after 1 month air under CO2 gas stream @ 40 C

TGA Experiments-Air Exposure



framergy's Role in BP1 - NETL

- Gen-0 Material (PPN-6-DETA) Cost Analysis
 - Identification of high impact cost components through sensitivity analysis
 - Monte-Carlo simulation (Palisade @Risk Decision Tools Suite) based optimization via variation of cost components, volatility, make-or-buy decision analysis
 - Material cost projections based on quantity demanded
- Gen-1 Material (PPN-6-DETA via Acid Catalyzed Trimerization) Cost Analysis
- Gen-2 Material (PPN-200-Br) Cost Analysis



Cost Analysis of PPN-6-DETA



(*) **Invention Disclosure** has been submitted to Texas A&M University's Technology Transfer and Commercialization Office (TTC)

BP2 Success Criteria

- Successful completion of all work proposed in Budget Period 2
- Produce ~200 grams of at least the two top-performing aPPN sorbent formulations (≥0.1 kg/kg working capacity) for initial fixed-bed cycling tests
- Top-performing aPPN sorbent formulation retains a CO₂ working capacity of at least 0.1 kg/kg after 30 cycles during automated fixed-bed testing
- Submission and approval of a Continuation Application in accordance with the terms and conditions of the award. The Continuation Application should include a detailed budget and budget justification for budget revisions or budget items not previously justified, including quotes and budget justification for service contractors and major equipment items

Acknowledgement and Disclaimer

- Graduate Students: Lanfang Zou, Xinyu Yang, Yujia Sun, Xuan Wang, Sai Che, Qi Wang, Mallory Smith, Jeremy Wilman, Will Burtner
- PI: Joe Zhou
- Sub-Award PI: Ray Ozdimir
- **Project Manager:** Andrew Jones
- Acknowledgment: "This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0026472."

• Disclaimer: "This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."

The Zhou Group

